Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/815,166	KARLSSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	GAILENE R. GABEL	1641
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>GAILENE R. GABEL</u> .	(3)	
(2) Yonggang Ji.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>3 June 2009</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: of record.		
Claims discussed: Claims 1, 2, 6, and 10-13.		
Prior art documents discussed: of record.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/815,166

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Yonggang Ji gave the Office authorization to amend claim 1: 1) to recite that the method is for analyzing a cell sample for the presence of analytes in the preamble; 2) to recite that the solid support has "an array surface" and is provided "in at least one flow cell" in steps (i), (ii), (iii), and (vi) of claim 1 and in claim 2; 3) to recite that "the set of different ligands are passed in a laminar flow" in step (iii) of claim 1; 4) to change "determining" to "detecting" in steps (iii) and (vi) of claim 1; to recite that the cell sample-containing fluid is passed in a laminar flow in step (v) of claim 1; and 5) to cancel claim 13 in an Examiner's Amendment.